

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,973	08/29/2000	Norbert George Vogl	YOR920000532US1	9168
75	90 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Louis J Percello			BAROT, BHARAT	
Intellectual Prop IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 218			2155	
Yorktown Heigh	hts, NY 10598	•	DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			Æ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/649,973	VOGL ET AL.	- 1				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bharat N Barot	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, pply within the statutory minimun d will apply and will expire SIX (tte, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commurome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	August 2000.						
	is action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	awn from consideratio						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objector e drawing(s) be held in a ection is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pri	nts have been received nts have been received iority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stag	je				
Attachment(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:)				

Application/Control Number: 09/649,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 2. Claims 1-11 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,903,724) in view of Hamai et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,122,280).
- 3. As to claim 1, Takamoto et al teach a method of doing business over a network (see abstract; and figures 1-2) comprising the steps of: receiving a request for transmitting digital information, the digital information having a number of packets; scheduling a transmit time for the digital information; and accepting the digital information for transmission (figures 15-17; and column 11 line 22 to column 12 line 54).

Art Unit: 2155

However, Takamoto et al do not teach the steps of: receiving a request for transmitting digital information after a start time and before an end time; determining the time required to transmit the digital information based on the number of packets and a network speed; and accepting the digital information for transmission only if the time required to transmit is less than or equal to the difference between the transmit time and the end time.

Hamai et al teach the steps of: receiving a request for transmitting digital information after a start time and before an end time; determining the time required to transmit the digital information based on the number of packets and a network speed; scheduling a transmit time for the digital information; and accepting the digital information for transmission only if the time required to transmit is less than or equal to the difference between the transmit time and the end time (see abstract and summary of the invention; figures 3-8; column 7 line 52 to column 11 line 25; and column 18 line 54 to column 19 line 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hamai et al stated above in the method of Takamoto et al for doing business over a network as stated above because it would have increased over all system efficiency and performance.

Art Unit: 2155

- 4. As to claims 2-3, Hamai et al disclose that the digital information is transmitted at a first price or the digital information is rejected for transmission if the time required to transmit is more than the difference between the transmit time and the end time (figure 3; and column 7 line 52 to column 8 line 32).
- 5. As to claims 4-5; Takamoto et al disclose that the digital information is accepted for transmission at a second price; and the digital information is rescheduled by the scheduler and accepted for transmission at a second price after the information is rejected (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).
- 6. As to claims 6-7, Takamoto et al disclose that receives an acknowledgment of the transmission (see summary of the invention; figures 2-3; and column 7 lines 10-63).

However, Takamoto et al do not disclose that produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment, but it would have been obvious and known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment because it would have improved system management to determine the cost of network usage.

7. As to claims 8-10, Takamoto et al disclose that one or more portions of the digital information are accepted for transmission and are transmitted; and receives an acknowledgment of the transmission of one or more of the portions (see summary of the invention; figures 2-3; and column 7 lines 10-63).

Art Unit: 2155

However, Takamoto et al do not disclose that produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment for one or more of the portions, but it would have been obvious and known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produces a bill on receipt of the acknowledgment for one or more of the portions because it would have improved system management to determine the cost of network usage.

- 8. As to claim 11, Takamoto et al disclose that one or more portions of the digital information are initially rejected and then accepted for transmission at one or more second times and at one or more second prices (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).
- 9. As to claim 16, Hamai et al disclose that the digital information is scheduled from one or more retransmissions if the time required to transmit is more than the difference between the transmit time and the end time (figures 3-4; and column 7 line 52 to column 9 line 62).
- 10. As to claim 17, Takamoto et al disclose that the digital information is scheduled from one or more retransmissions if no acknowledgment of the transmission of the digital information is received (figures 18-20; and column 12 line 55 to column 14 line 10).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

- 11. As to claims 18-19, Hamai et al disclose that the digital information is also not transmitted if one or more criteria are not met, where the criteria include any one or more of the following: a file size, a release time, a deadline, zero or more recipients, zero or more user locations, an acknowledgment, a negative acknowledgment, a partial acknowledgment, a bandwidth, a quality of service, a retransmission count, and a retransmission schedule (figures 3 and 8; column 7 line 52 to column 8 line 32; and column 9 line 65 to column 11 line 25).
- 12. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,903,724) in view of Hamai et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,122,280) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Duquesnois et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,564,382).
- 13. As to claims 12-13, neither Takamoto et al nor Hamai et al discloses that the request has one or more priorities; the priority is that the digital information is transmitted within a time period.

Duquesnois et al disclose that the request has one or more priorities; the priority is that the digital information is transmitted within a time period (see abstract; column 2 lines 1-14 and 38-59; column 4 lines 44-52; and column 8 lines 5-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Duquesnois et al stated above in the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

method of Takamoto et al for doing business over a network as stated above because it would have increased over all system efficiency and performance.

14. As to claims 14-15, Duquesnois et al disclose that the time period in any one or more of the following: over night, two days, and one week, and the priority is a freight priority that requires the digital information to be transmitted within a freight time period with no acknowledgments (see abstract; column 2 lines 1-14 and 38-59; column 4 lines 44-52; and column 8 lines 5-21).

Additional Reference

- 15. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.
 - a. Schweitzer et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,615,262.

Contact Information

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (703) 305-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alam, Hosain, can be reached at (703) 308-6662. A central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2155

Page 8

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

February 25, 2004

Bherat Best.